

26 Sep 2019

Program Executive Office for Simulation, Training, and Instrumentation (PEO STRI)

Amit Kapadia Rick Osborne

Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited. Public Release Case Number 19-2653 This technical data deliverable was developed using contract funds under Basic Contract No. W56KGU-18-D-0004. © 2019 The MITRE Corporation.





- In the US Department of Defense (DoD), following a waterfall process can produce high quality products but often the products are <u>no longer relevant</u> by the time they reach their users.
- The commercial sector is developing key technologies and applications that have the potential for <u>cost-effective</u> <u>adaptation</u> for defense use cases such as mission rehearsal and training.
- The exploitation of <u>commercial technologies</u> and use of <u>open architectures</u> can improve efficiencies, enabling the military modeling and simulation community to effectively respond to dynamic environments with rapidly changing threats.





- Discuss how PEO STRI utilized <u>commercial</u> <u>technologies</u> and <u>open architecture</u> to release an initial cyber training prototype as well as a subsequent release within one year of receiving funding.
 - -PEO STRI leveraged a <u>Try-Buy-Adapt</u> Acquisition Strategy and <u>Microservice Architecture</u>
- Provide challenges and lessons learned regarding <u>Try-</u> <u>Buy-Adapt</u> Acquisition Strategy and <u>Microservice</u> <u>Architecture</u> adoption
- <u>Vision</u>: Continued microservice architecture adoption will increase release frequency to quarterly and perhaps monthly.



PCTE Vision







TRY-BUY-ADAPT





METOVA

ManTech SYTHE

SimSpace

CIRCADENCE 👬

Try: Cyber Innovation Challenge (CIC) Assessment

- Identify large capability gaps
- Write CIC solicitation
- Down-select Industry COTS best-of-breed prototypes
- Conduct demo of candidate solutions for CMF evaluation
- Assess PCTE integration readiness of candidate solutions

Buy: License Products and Procure Services

Utilize Other Transaction Authority (OTA) Vehicles

- License COTS products for adaptation
- Procure services to integrate COTS products, add new features, and conduct pilots

PCTE Reference Architecture

Adapt: Integrate Products using Open Architecture

- Leverage open architectures and standards to facilitate integration
- Integrate COTS products and add new features
- Leverage COTS enterprise middleware to integrate products
- Deliver though monthly agile scrum process



Try-Buy-Adapt Lessons Learned



Challenge

Lesson Learned

How do I identify the right scope for CICs to ensure that capabilities can be assessed?	 Problem: During the CIC-1, vendors could demonstrate all cyber training capabilities which made it difficult to create evaluation criteria and subsequently assess the products. Solution: In CIC-2 and CIC-3, we identified specific cyber training capabilities which made it easier to develop evaluation criteria.
How do I train the CIC evaluators to ensure the data collected is meaningful?	 Problem: During CIC-1, the evaluators did not receive training so the first few demonstrations were essentially practice. Solution: In CIC-2 and CIC-3, training was provided on the process, tools, and evaluation criteria so the evaluators could perform meaningful assessments.
How do I ensure the solutions chosen by the evaluators can be integrated into PCTE?	 Problem: One solution chosen by the evaluators in CIC-1 could not be easily integrated into PCTE. Solution: In CIC-3, a software architect was included in the selection process to ensure the capabilities selected by the evaluators can be integrated into PCTE.





The microservices architecture is a design approach that enables <u>rapid releasing of software</u> by developing an application from a <u>collection of loosely coupled services</u>. Each service provides a <u>single business capability</u>.







For example, a notional online store may consist of the following services. Each service provides <u>a single</u> <u>business capability</u>:







<u>PCTE</u> consists of but is not limited to the following services. Each service provides <u>a single business</u> <u>capability</u>:







Microservice Architecture enables <u>rapid software releases</u> by allowing agile teams to <u>independently</u> develop, test, and deploy each service.



How do agile teams <u>independently</u> develop, test, and deploy each service?





How do agile teams **independently develop**, test, and deploy each service?

Limit dependencies between teams by following these best practices:



 Loose coupling via well-defined APIs and inter-service communication through a message bus using a publishsubscribe model.







How do agile teams **independently** develop, **test**, and deploy each service?

Implement <u>Contract testing</u> which is a technique to ensure that microservices will work together <u>prior to conducting an expensive integration test.</u>







Future

PCTE

Effort

How do agile teams *independently* develop , test , and <u>deploy</u> each service?

- Adopt containers which <u>deploy in seconds</u> and support rapid testing.
- Construct <u>Continuous Integration / Continuous Delivery</u> (CI/CD) pipelines with automation servers to automate the testing and promotion of microservices from DEV->PROD
- Independently promote microservices between environments





Summary

- The military modeling and simulation community cannot afford to continue the waterfall government-based development that <u>takes years to field</u> a capability to the warfighter.
- Acquiring <u>commercial technologies</u> and leveraging <u>open architectures</u> allows the acquisition community to field capabilities in significantly less time.
- Continued use of CICs (<u>Try-Buy-Adapt</u>), coupled with <u>microservice architecture adoption</u> throughout PCTE's life cycle, will enable the Army to <u>rapidly deliver new</u> <u>features</u> to the CMF, and evolve the PCTE platform based on changes to technology, threat, and tactics, techniques, and procedures (TTPs).

